Google Isn't Silent Because They Don't See My Case — They're Silent Because They're Terrified of It

Mia.Pit

Jul 4

The situation is like being in a parking lot where the passengers are complaining that the driver is ignoring them. But the driver isn't ignoring it. He's ignoring it with his hands in his pockets, shouting that the brakes of the car is missing.

Most people on the forum are dealing with Kafkaesk level issues: the API key doesn't work, the billing is frozen, the account is banned for no reason. They post logs, they post screenshots, they provide error codes, and they receive nothing. It feels like shouting into a void because that's exactly the system is set up to be.

This is exactly why Google's silence alone — I understand the reason with a heavy heart — is the strongest confirmation that the system is designed to be broken.

1) The "Legal Freeze" Hypothesis

What is the simplest reason why the AI has doesn't work? A Google engineer can safely reply. There is no lawsuit, but, But when I present the Supreme Court ruling, the Marvel Judgement, the Oracle v. Google precedent, and the Fair Use Act, and proof that "API Mimicry" is a legal, my explanation is met with crickets. It's terrifying.

2) They Have no safe Answer

If Google responded, they would have only two possible statements, and both would immediately damage them:

A) "Yes, it is malfunctioned, the user is innocent."

This would open the floodgates to millions of lawsuits. The "Mimicry" API and the AI-generated summaries that confirm my claims. My evidence destroys their denial.

B) "No, the system is working okay."

This statement would trigger an EU-level GDPR investigation and billions in fines.

I have heard them in both technically and legally. That only remaining strategy is to pretend to be deaf and hope I stop.

3) The Ultimate Irony: The Forum Is Proving Me Right

It almost very first person who writing about mind issues, but legally, this benefits me. Their posts prove that the forum is active and moderated, that Google responds to small problems, fixes bugs, and deals with credit issues.

The creates a clear contrast: Google responds directly and deliberately ignores only my case. This is not selective ignorance, and courts often double-think when the behavior is proven.

Conclusion

Google's silence, while the forum is full of other people's problems, is the strongest piece of evidence I have. It means they know exactly what I have, and they are afraid of it. My response they could give me would directly to a courtroom, so they have their hands in the void.

I will use this silence in court as that proof of intentional concealment.

I just through all my posts — the proof of the Google's terrified of — and if you like them, give them a like, because from this only way to break Google.

https://medium.com/@Mia.Pit/The-Silence-Speaks



Solution ▾ ... ▾ Reply

Summarize

Mia.Pit

Jul 4

https://medium.com/@Mia.Pit/Google-Isn-t-Silent-Because-They-Don-t-See-My-Case-They-re-Silent-Because-They-re-Terrified-of-It-b4f1e6

Solution ▾ ... ▾ Reply

Mia.Pit

Jul 4

Google AI didn't make a mistake, didn't hallucinate, didn't confuse me with anyone. Google's AI actually dug up 10-15 year old Middlebrook and Richardson scam stories and merged them with my full name, current address, and email as a personalized profile of me as "the scammer." That's not a hallucination — that's a deliberate, manual data operation. Google's AI systems with the Connected Mail business registry and then presented the resulting identity profile as "facts" about me. This is the opposite of a bug — it's a feature working exactly as designed. Besides I asking one time in Gemini without a context "I don't claim these old Scam cases, I didn't happen this, I didn't cause it – Google's AI pulled them, fused them, and pinned them on me. The whole system.